



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY24 FB EQIP CIC Ground Water HPA

Program: EQIP

Pool Status: Active

States: WA (Admin)

Template: EQIP-CIC National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Joyce Trevithick

Last Modified: 10/31/2023
3

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	X	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	X	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	0	20	100
Soil quality limitations	0	40	100
Source water depletion	0	40	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	100	100
Plant structure and composition	0	--	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Compaction	0	25	100
Organic matter depletion	0	75	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
------------------	-------	-----------	-------

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	20	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	80	100
Surface water depletion	0	--	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application	450	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Adjustment (A)	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY24 FB EQIP CIC Ground Water HPA (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: Location

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Washington State Ground Water HPA	WA Ground Water HPA	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions**Section: Fund Pool Category**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
This application is for WA FB EQIP CIC Ground Water HPA . (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions**Section: Statewide Program Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R? (Only answer if you answered yes to question 2) (5 pts)*	YES	5
	NO	0
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS313, CPS314, CPS315, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS336, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383, CPS384, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0
Will this application result in the completion of at least one of the EQIP CIC primary practices annually for all 5 years of the EQIP CIC contract? : 45pts	YES	45
	NO	0

Section: Statewide Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one supporting wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY24 Resource Question

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select all that apply:	Will any of the following Conservation Practices (340, 449, or 590) be included in the applicants EQIP CIC contract? (25 pts)	25
	Will the proposed practices increase organic matter accumulation, plant productivity and health, or increase irrigation efficiency on the planned land unit(s)? (25 pts)	25
	N/A	0
Has the participant ever had a CSP contract?	YES	0
	NO	75
Will Nutrient Management (590) be included in the schedule of operations?	On the entire Planned Land Unit(s) in which nutrients are applied? 25 pts	25
	On 50% to 99% of the Planned Land Units(s) where nutrients are applied. 15 pts	15
	On less than 50% of the Planned Land Units(s) where nutrients are applied? 5 pts	5
	N/A	0
Current type of irrigation being evaluated to change (51% or majority if multiple types are being evaluated).	Surface/flood	--
	Wheel/Handline/Solid Set	--
	Sprinkler (Pivot/Linear)	--
	N/A	--

Section: FY24 Resource Question

Question	Answer Choices	Points
51% OF ACRES OR MAJORITY OF SYSTEM IS BEING UPDATED TO:* *	Wheel/Handline/Solid Set	10
	Sprinkler (Pivot/Linear)	25
	Micro	50
	n/a	0
51% of acres or majority of system is converting to:**	Sprinkler (Pivot/Linear) 25 pts	25
	Micro 50 pts	50
	N/A	0
51% OF ACRES OR MAJORITY OF THE SYSTEM IS CONVERTING TO:* *	MICRO	50
	N/A	0