



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool:** FY24 IRA LWG Puget Sound Team Forestry

**Program:** EQIP

**Pool Status:** Active

**States:** WA (Admin)

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

**Template Status:** Active

**Last Modified By:** Joyce Trevithick

**Last Modified:** 12/11/2023  
3

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	25	100
Degraded plant condition	0	25	100
Fire management	0	25	100
Pest pressure	0	25	100

Concentrated erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Classic gully erosion	0	100	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: FY24 IRA LWG Puget Sound Team Forestry (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Puget Sound Team	Puget Sound team	--
	Otherwise	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Fund Pool Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
This application is for WA FY 24 IRA LWG Puget Sound Team Forestry. (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Program Questions

Section: Statewide Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS313, CPS314, CPS315, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS336, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383, CPS384, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0
Contract expiration date is four years or less (expiration date ending 12/31/2028 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY24 Resource Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
According to the Forest Management Plan, does the PLU forest stand exceed forest health stems per acre stocking rate? 100 pts	YES	100
	NO	0
Answer only one question	Applicant currently manages for wildlife habitat = 100pts	100
	Applicant is installing wildlife habitat with this contract = 50pts	50
	Applicant will not manage for wildlife habitat = 0 points	0