

Ranking Pool: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite

Program: EQIP

**Template:** Working Lands for Wildlife (WLFW) Northern Bobwhite (NOBO)

**Last** Katelyn Fagan

Pool Status: Draft

Template Active

Last 11/13/202

States: IN (Admin)

Modified: 3

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

| Land Use           | Grazed | Wildlife | Irrigated | Hayed | Drained | Organic | Water Feature | Protected | Urban | Aquaculture |
|--------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Associated Ag Land |        |          |           |       | N/A     |         |               |           |       |             |
| Crop               |        |          |           |       |         |         |               |           |       |             |
| Farmstead          |        |          |           | N/A   | N/A     |         |               |           |       |             |
| Forest             |        |          |           | N/A   | N/A     |         |               |           |       |             |
| Pasture            |        |          |           |       |         |         |               |           |       |             |

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

| Categories                      |       |           |       |  |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Category                        | Min % | Default % | Max % |  |
| Degraded plant condition        | 20    | 20        | 60    |  |
| Fire management                 | 0     | 30        | 60    |  |
| Livestock production limitation | 0     | 10        | 30    |  |
| Terrestrial habitat             | 40    | 40        | 100   |  |

| Degraded plant condition        |       |           |       |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern                | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant productivity and health   | 0     | 40        | 100   |
| Plant structure and composition | 0     | 60        | 100   |

| Fire management                           |       |           |       |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern                          | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation | 100   | 100       | 100   |

11/13/2023 Page 1 of 6

| Livestock production limitation |       |           |       |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern                | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Feed and forage balance         | 100   | 100       | 100   |

| Terrestrial habitat                                |       |           |       |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern                                   | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates | 100   | 100       | 100   |

## **Practices**

| Practice Name                                | Practice Code | Practice Type             |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| Prescribed Burning Plan - Written            | 112           | CAPs                      |
| Prescribed Burning Plan - Applied            | 113           | CAPs                      |
| Integrated Pest Management Plan - Written    | 114           | CAPs                      |
| Integrated Pest Management Plan - Applied    | 115           | CAPs                      |
| Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written            | 146           | CAPs                      |
| Pollinator Habitat Plan - Applied            | 147           | CAPs                      |
| Brush Management                             | 314           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Herbaceous Weed Treatment                    | 315           | Conservation Practices    |
| Conservation Cover                           | 327           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Conservation Crop Rotation                   | 328           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Residue and Tillage Management, No Till      | 329           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Prescribed Burning                           | 338           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Cover Crop                                   | 340           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till | 345           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Fence  | 382           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Woody Residue Treatment                      | 384           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Riparian Herbaceous Cover                    | 390           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Riparian Forest Buffer                       | 391           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Filter Strip                                 | 393           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Firebreak                                    | 394           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Wildlife Habitat Planting                    | 420           | Conservation<br>Practices |

11/13/2023 Page 2 of 6

| Practice Name  | Practice Code | l                         |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|
|  |               | Practice Type             |
| Hedgerow Planting                                    | 422           | Conservation Practices    |
| Mulching   | 484           | Conservation Practices    |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation                          | 490           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Pasture and Hay Planting                             | 512           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Livestock Pipeline                                   | 516           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Prescribed Grazing                                   | 528           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Pumping Plant  | 533           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land               | 543           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land               | 544           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Range Planting                                       | 550           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Access Road  | 560           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Heavy Use Area Protection                            | 561           | Conservation Practices    |
| Herbaceous Wind Barriers                             | 603           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment                             | 612           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Watering Facility                                    | 614           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Water Well   | 642           | Conservation Practices    |
| Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities | 643           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management                   | 645           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt           | 647           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Structures for Wildlife                              | 649           | Conservation Practices    |
| Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation                     | 650           | Conservation Practices    |
| Forest Trails and Landings                           | 655           | Conservation<br>Practices |
| Forest Stand Improvement                             | 666           | Conservation<br>Practices |

# **Ranking Weights**

| Factors                  | Algorithm | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities          | Default   | 15            | 20      | 40            |
| Planned Practice Effects | Default   | 10            | 15      | 15            |

11/13/2023 Page 3 of 6

|                     | ,         |               |         |               |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Factors             | Algorithm | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
| Resource Priorities | Default   | 20            | 50      | 60            |
| Program Priorities  | Default   | 5             | 5       | 15            |
| Efficiencies        | Default   | 10            | 10      | 10            |

## Display Group: Indiana EQIP 2024 Northern Bobwhite Quail (WLFW) (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Applicability Question

| Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Applicability Question   |                |        |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Question   | Answer Choices | Points |  |  |  |
| All of the following criteria are met for this application: 1)The objective of the application includes the establishment/management of Northern Bobwhite Quail habitat OR the management of working grasslands to | YES            |        |  |  |  |
| benefit N. Bobwhite Quail; 2) An appropriate Bobwhite WHEG has been completed meeting a planned condition of "good" and increasing from the current score?   | NO             |        |  |  |  |

## Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Category Question

|                              | Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Category Question  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Question Answer Choices Poin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              | Choose the Indiana Wildlife Habitat Northern Bobwhite Quail Category | Northern Bobwhite, Grasslands, and<br>Savannas Framework |  |  |  |  |

#### Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Program Questions

| Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Program Questions |                |        |  |  |
|---|----------------|--------|--|--|
| Question  | Answer Choices | Points |  |  |

11/13/2023 Page 4 of 6

| Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Program Questions  |   |        |  |  |
|--|---|--------|--|--|
| Question   | Answer Choices  | Points |  |  |
| Local Priorities may be selected if the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflects that a Resource Concern exists, and resource concerns will be Improved. Select all that apply.  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 1 priority. (28 points)                        | 28     |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 2 priority. (20 points)                        | 20     |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 3 priority. (17 points)                        | 17     |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 4 priority. (14 points)                        | 14     |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 5 priority. (12 points)                        | 12     |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 6 priority. (9 points)                         | 9      |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 7 priority. (8 points)                         | 8      |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 8 priority. (6 points)                         | 6      |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 9 priority. (5 points)                         | 5      |  |  |
|  | The application will improve the county local working group's Number 10 priority. (1 points)                        | 1      |  |  |
|  | The application will improve none of the county local working group's priorities. (0 points)                        | 0      |  |  |
| Does the application include one or more High Priority Practices: 328, 338, 512*, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620*, 649*, and 666*? (* Not all scenarios are applicable for these practices. Refer to the current Practice User Guide for applicable High Priority Practice scenarios.)  | YES   |        |  |  |
|  | NO  |        |  |  |
| Source Water Protection Area (SWPA): Does land in the application intersect the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana FY24 SWPA HUC12s)?   | Yes, land in the assessment intersects the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana FY24 SWPA HUC12s). (0 points)          |        |  |  |
|  | Land in the assessment does not intersect<br>the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana<br>FY24 SWPA HUC12s). (0 points) |        |  |  |
| Does the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflect that a ground or surface water resource concern exists and will be IMPROVED. (NOTE: This question only appears if any land in the assessment intersects with Indiana's priority source water protection areas. IF this question appears, this application is eligible for EQIP SWPA High Priority Practice payments for the following practices: 328, 351, 447, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620, and 782.)* | YES   | 40     |  |  |
|  | NO  |        |  |  |

# Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Resource Question

| Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Resource Questions |                |        |  |
|--|----------------|--------|--|
| Question   | Answer Choices | Points |  |

11/13/2023 Page 5 of 6

| Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 WLFW Northern Bobwhite Resource Questions     |   |        |  |  |
|--|---|--------|--|--|
| Question   | Answer Choices  | Points |  |  |
| Application meets any of the following criteria (choose all that apply): | None of the other options are applicable. Do not choose any other responses if this choice is selected. (0 points)  |        |  |  |
|  | The project is located in a high priority county in Indiana with a National Bobwhite Conservation Initiative (NBCI) ranking of high. This includes the following counties: Bartholomew, Blackford, Brown, Clark, Clay, Crawford, Daviess, Dearborn, Decatur, Dubois, Fayette, Floyd, Fountain, Franklin, Gibson, Greene, Harrison, Henry, Jackson, Jay, Jefferson, Jennings, Johnson, Knox, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, Montgomery, Morgan, Ohio, Orange, Owen, Parke, Perry, Pike, Posey, Putnam, Randolph, Ripley, Rush, Scott, Shelby, Spencer, Sullivan, Switzerland, Tippecanoe, Union, Vanderburgh, Vermillion, Vigo, Warrick, Washington, Wayne. (30 points) | 30     |  |  |
|  | The project will result in a planned bobwhite WHEG landscape habitat suitability index score of "Excellent" (50 points)   | 50     |  |  |
|  | The project will result in greater the 50% of the herbaceous habitat being within 150 foot proximity of woody cover (Planned score option a. or b. for Associated Ag-Herbaceous WHEG Factor I; Associated Ag-Wooded WHEG Factor I; Pastureland WHEG Factor F) (25 points)   | 25     |  |  |
|  | The project will result in habitat adjacent to other habitat (similar or different) at least 1 acre in size. (Planned score option a. or b. for Associated Ag-Herbaceous WHEG Factor J; Associated Ag-Wooded WHEG Factor J; Pastureland WHEG Factor M) (25 points)  | 25     |  |  |
|  | The project will convert or create at least one paddock from introduced cool season grasses or cropland to two species of approved native grasses and at least one or more species of native legume and forb with an approved grazing plan (Planned score option c, Pasture WHEG Factor C AND Planned score option b., Pasture WHEG Factor B) (25 points)   | 25     |  |  |
|  | The project will result in a planting of three or more species of native graminoids and nine or more species of native forbs/native legumes through any vegetive practice. (25 points)  | 25     |  |  |
|  | The project includes 3 years of 645 monitoring as part of the plan (20 points)  | 20     |  |  |

11/13/2023 Page 6 of 6