

Ranking Pool: FY24 Act Now IRA Snake River Team Forestry

Program: EQIP

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Last Joyce Trevithick **Modified By:**

Pool Status: Active

Template Status: Active

Last 10/25/202

States: WA (Admin)

Modified: 3

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Forest				N/A	N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	25	100
Degraded plant condition	0	25	100
Fire management	0	25	100
Pest pressure	0	25	100

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	100	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

11/29/2023 Page 1 of 4

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Soil Carbon Amendment	336	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Forest Farming	379	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40

11/29/2023 Page 2 of 4

				many room report
Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY24 Act Now IRA SRT Forestry (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Snake River Team	Intersects with Snake River Team boundaries	
	Otherwise	

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
This application is for the Snake River Team IRA Forestry fund pool. (i	YES				
not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	NO				

Survey: Program Questions

Section: FY24 Statewide Program Questions					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants	YES	40			
property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	NO	0			
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer	YES	50			
Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	NO				

11/29/2023 Page 3 of 4

	Kai	nking Pool Report		
Section: FY24 Statewide Program Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices (CPS311, CPS313, CPS314, CPS315, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS336, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383, CPS384, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20		
	NO	0		
Contract expiration date is four years or less (expiration date ending 12/31/2028 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	YES	50		
	NO	0		
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value 10 pts	YES	10		
	NO	0		
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	YES	10		
	NO	0		
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20		
	NO	0		

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY IRA SRT Forestry Resource Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Application is located within 1 mile of a planned or completed (within 3 years) DNR or NRCS forest health project. 100 pts	YES	100	
	NO	0	
51% of PLU application is located in high priority HUC yes100*	51% of PLU application is located in high priority HUC yes100	100	
	Otherwise	0	
51% of PLU application is located in med priority HUC yes40*	51% of PLU application is located in med priority HUC yes40	40	
	Otherwise	0	
51% of PLU application is located in low priority HUC yes10*	51% of PLU application is located in low priority HUC yes10	10	
	Otherwise	0	

11/29/2023 Page 4 of 4