

Ranking Pool: FY24 FB LWG Snake River Team Grazing

Program: EQIP States: WA (Admin) Pool Status: Active

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Template Status: Active

Last 11/22/202

Last Joyce Trevithick **Modified By:** Modified: 3

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	х				N/A					
Crop	х									
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest	х			N/A	N/A					
Pasture	х									
Range	х		N/A		N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	0	30	100
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	35	100
Livestock production limitation	0	35	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	50	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	50	100	

11/30/2023 Page 1 of 4

			3
Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	30	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	10	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	60	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Conservation Practices
Spring Development	574	Conservation Practices
Trails and Walkways	575	Conservation Practices
Livestock Shelter Structure	576	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices
Water Well	642	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

11/30/2023 Page 2 of 4

				iking r oor report
Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY24 FB LWG Snake River Team Grazing (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location Location				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Washington State Spake Biver Team	WA State SRT			
Washington State Snake River Team	otherwise			

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Question				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Will the proposed project be documented on the CPA-52 for improved	YES			
livestock production limitations on any land use, reduced livestock induced nutrient and pathogen contamination to surface waters and/or	NO			
improve degraded plant condition on pasture and/or range lands?	Otherwise			

Survey: Program Questions

Section: FY24 Program Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants	YES	40		
property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	NO	0		
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer	YES	50		
Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	NO	0		

11/30/2023 Page 3 of 4

	Rai	nking Pool Report	
Section: FY24 Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS313, CPS314, CPS315, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS336, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383, CPS384,	YES	20	
CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0	
Contract expiration date is four years or less (expiration date ending 12/31/2028 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	YES	50	
	NO	0	
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP	YES	10	
CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value 10 pts	NO	0	
Application(s) Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or	YES	10	
FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	NO	0	
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328,	YES	20	
CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0	

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
With or without NRCS funding, does the participant operate under a prescribed grazing plan (CPS528) that meets NRCS specifications OF will the participant be implementing a prescribed grazing plan	YES	80		
(CPS528) for the project application that will meet NRCS specifications? 80 PTS	NO	0		
Will the project be implemented to remove livestock access to fish	YES	80		
bearing waters? 80 PTS	NO	0		
Will the project be implemented to remove livestock access to surface	YES	40		
waters? 40 PTS	NO	0		

11/30/2023 Page 4 of 4