

Ranking Pool: FY24 FB Sentinel Lands

Program: EQIP

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

**Last** Joyce Trevithick **Modified By:** 

Pool Status: Active

**Template Status:** Active

Last 10/31/202

States: AK, WA (Admin)

Modified: 3

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					
Water	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A					

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Degraded plant condition	0	33	100	
Livestock production limitation	0	33	100	
Terrestrial habitat	0	34	100	

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	100	100

11/29/2023 Page 1 of 5

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

## **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	Conservation Practices
11/29/2023	1	Page 2 of

Rankir			
Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type	
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Conservation Practices	
Livestock Shelter Structure	576	Conservation Practices	
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices	
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices	
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices	
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices	
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices	
Waste Recycling	633	Conservation Practices	
Water Well	642	Conservation Practices	
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices	
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices	
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices	
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices	
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices	

#### **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## **Display Group: FY 24 FB Sentinel Lands (Active)**



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## **Survey: Applicability Questions**

11/29/2023 Page 3 of 5

Section: Location					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
MA EV 24 Sentinel Lende Boundany	WA FY 24 Sentinel Lands Boundary				
WA FY 24 Sentinel Lands Boundary	Otherwise				

# **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Fund Pool Category					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Application is for Washington State Sentinel Lands (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES				
	NO				

# **Survey: Program Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants	YES	40
property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU	YES	50
status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	NO	0
	YES	20
CPS352, CPS356, CPS340, CPS342, CPS343, CPS360, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383, CPS384, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0
Contract expiration date is four years or less (expiration date ending	YES	50
12/31/2028 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366,	YES	10
CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value 10 pts	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or	YES	10
FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	NO	0

		•	•	
Section: Statewide Program Questions				
Question	Answer Choices		Points	
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328,	YES		20	
CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	NO		0	

# **Survey: Resource Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is application located within the species range of the Yelm pocket gopher, Tenino pocket gopher, Roy pocket gopher, Olympia pocket gopher.	Within range of the Pocket Gopher	50
	Otherwise	0
Will the proposed practices benefit federal threatened, or endangered species under USFWS jurisdiction? (planners use PHS EE tool or run IPaC report).	YES	50
	NO	0
Is project located within a 1/4 mile of a known Oregon Spotted Frog occurrence?	1/4 mile of Oregon Spotted Frog	50
	Otherwise	0
Plant community? Answer one of the following:	Will this project create or maintain a predominately (75%) native herbaceous plant community? 25 Points	25
	Will this project create or maintain a predominately (75%) introduced herbaceous plant community? 10 Points	10
	Will the project create or maintain a predominately (75%) woody plant community? 0 Points	0
	N/A	0
Is project located on a preferred pocket gopher soil? (High and Medium would count. Any % of the applicants offered acres warrants a yes.	Pocket Gopher Soil	
	Otherwise	
PLU is located within half mile buffer of JBLM?	YES	10
	NO	0

11/29/2023 Page 5 of 5