Missouri State Technical Committee Meeting Minutes November 7, 2023

Meeting was called to order at 9:30am by Nate Goodrich, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Assistant State Conservationist – Partnerships. Nate thanked everyone for attending and asked to go around the room, having everyone stand and introduce themselves. He then passed over the meeting to Ashley Johnson, NRCS Acting State Conservationist, for opening comments. Ashley talked about the successful Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 that NRCS had (see attached presentation for numbers), and then talked about NRCS's plans on expanding our staff. Lisa Potter asked about the positions with the University of Missouri partnership, what training will they receive and what topics will they focus on? We are still working on a list of duties and responsibilities, and there are 4 research stations (https://moaes.missouri.edu/) in Missouri – Central in and around Columbia; Northern is 3 properites in Novelty, Linneus, and Spickard; Southwest is near Mt Vernon; and Fisher Delta is in and around Portageville. Another question about the IRA funds, specifically how long we expected to have them, came up – for about 5 years (2028).

At this point, Ashley turned the meeting over to Joe Aull from the Farm Service Agency (FSA). Joe talked about the drought updates, mentioning that most of the funding was going to livestock producers, and the Livestock Forage Disaster Program (LFP) had issued \$130 million to roughly 250 producers. FSA has been looking into Climate Smart Agriculture to answer the question, will this help my crops be marketable? Joe then talked about the Urban Agriculture initiative, and Missouri having two of the 27 pilot cities in the US. Joe wrapped up saying that the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) was a NRCS/FSA joint project, and that those agencies had a good working relationship here in Missouri. With no representative from Rural Development (RD), Nate handed the meeting over to Ally Travlos and Jenny Long to talk about the Regional Conservation Partnership Program.

Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) (please refer to the two RCPP handouts included in these notes): Going into FY24, funds will be going up along with Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) money. Handouts with the specifics of those funds was given to the attendees. Jim Plassmeyer described new projects, with one RCPP being funded in FY23 focusing on surface water management and livestock water needs. They are currently working on the Programmatic Partnership Agreement (PPA) for that project. At this point, Chris Hamilton with NRCS was called up to talk Agricultural Conservation Easement Program.

Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP) (please refer to the map handout included in these notes): A Geographic Rate Cap (GARCs) map has been generated and was provided to the attendees. Dan Engleman with Farm Bureau then talked about the Water Resources & Easements (WR&E) tour update on lands that have been put into easements and the positive impact the program has on water quality, flooding, and the producers' operations. Plan is still to move forward, NRCS and Farm Bureau working together, and all members requested to give feedback on the program and its attendant policy. At this point, the floor was opened to the Subcommittees for their reports.

Subcommittee Reports: Casy Bergthold with Ducks Unlimited gave a report on behalf of the Easements Subcommittee, how the group had met once since the last meeting, and there are both increased interest in program across the state and the increasing rates are of interest to the producers. Robert Stout of the Water Quality Committee reported they had submitted questions on water quality and watershed recommendations, and that what has been submitted for the Mississippi River Basin Healthy Watersheds Initiative (MRBI) have already been approved. With no

other reports from the subcommittees, the floor was turned over to Marilyn Gann, NRCS, to talk about ACT NOW Initiatives.

ACT NOW Initiatives (please refer to the handout attached to these notes): This new program allows NRCS to immediately approve and obligate a ranked application in a designated ranking pool when an eligible application meets or exceeds a State-determined minimum ranking score. IRA funding can only use practices from the Climate-Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) activities list. Please refer to the attached presentation for the numbers of what ACT NOW did in FY23. The FY24 ACT NOW in Missouri has a December 15th draft date for the announcement of the program, and a March 15th signup deadline. What were some of the projects on Socially Disadvantaged, and what is the criteria for Socially Disadvantaged? They are anything specific to their agricultural location anywhere in Missouri, and the criteria can be found here: <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/getting-assistance/underserved-farmers-ranchers</u>. Andy Rackers, NRCS, then talked about FY24 Livestock Water Development under the ACT NOW program (numbers also included in presentation). As shown in the presentation, 5 counties who were subjected to extreme drought in 2022/2023 (Barton, Bates, Cedar, St Clair, and Vernon) will be receiving extra attention and help in developing primary water sources and delivery points to increase drought resiliency in their livestock. Andy turned the meeting back over to Nate for the final Q&A.

Questions & Answers:

- Is there a possibility to combine National Forestry Initiative (NFI) and Livestock Water Development? Not at this time.
- Concerning the ACT NOW Livestock Water Development program, was the grazing greater than 20% considered for the county as a whole? Yes.
- How is the bat letter (the letter that informed producers the norther long-eared bat had become endangered) impacting projects moving forward? When will landowners get letters for fencing projects to get conservation on the ground? Some areas of the State have already been following restrictions based off of other bat restrictions so there will be no change. Southwest Missouri will be the most impacted. Land use change will be the most impacted. Follow on – these bat letters are restricting producers to get clearing done November to March. We are working under the Threatened and Endangered Species Act, any projects including NRCS funds must follow those guidelines.
- Can any landowner sign up for a Forest Management Plan (FMP)? Yes, that most likely will happen along with all other conservation activity plans. Issue is the lack of Technical Service Providers (TSP) to write forestry plans.
- Are conservation plans available for all activities? Correct, not just forestry.
- Issue of kudzu coming in and wanting to increase grazing and fencing off of land. Not necessarily a question, but look to RCPP for assistance.

Closing: Nate Goodrich then closed the meeting at 12:05, with a reminder of upcoming daylight savings (who is saving all this time, and what are they doing with it?), and a word to the wise: The key is going to be communication and transparency.

ATTENDING

Adrian Hendricks Ally Travlos **Amy Hamilton** Andrea Rice Andrew White Andy Rackers Ashley Johnson **Bill Haworth** Billy Polansky **Bob Ball Casey Bergthold Chris Hamilton Clayton Light** Dan Engemann Doug Spaunhorst **Drew Walters** Emily Beck **Erin Holmes** Ethan Miller Gina Beebe Hank Stelzer Hannah Hemmelgarn Jahn Kallis Jeff Powelson Jennifer Eggemeyer Jenny Long Jim Plassmeyer

Joe Aull John Murphy John Weber Jon Wingo Jorge L. Lugo Josh Colligan Josh Poynor Ken Henderson Ken Tomlin Kurt Boeckmann Landry Jones Lisa Potter Marilyn Gann Nate Goodrich Nick Cuchetti Paul McDonald **Reagan Bluel Robert Stout** Scott Siegfried Selma Mascaro Spencer Tuma Susan Mammen Tammy Thompson Tim Gibbons

STATE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Location:	Boone Electric Community Building,
	1413 Rangeline St, Columbia, MO

Date: November 7th, 2023

Time: 9:30am to 12:30pm

Agenda Items

- 9:00am Registration and Networking
- 9:30am Welcome (Nate Goodrich, Assistant State Conservationist for Partnerships)
- 9:35am Agency Updates (Ashley Johnson, NRCS Acting State Conservationist; Joe Aull, FSA State Executive Director; Kyle Wilkens, RD State Director)
- 10:00am RCPP (Nate Goodrich; Jenny Long, Soil Conservationist; Ally Travlos, RCPP Coordinator)
- 10:45am Break
- 11:00am Easement Acquisition (Chris Hamilton, Assistant State Conservationist for Water Resources and Easements; Scott Siegfried, Acting Easements Coordinator)
- 11:20am Subcommittee Reports
- 11:30am Act Now Initiatives

FY23: Native Forage Initiative (Selma Mascaro, State Resource Conservationist), Others (Marilyn Gann, Assistant State Conservationist for Programs)

FY 24: Proposed (Marilyn Gann), Livestock Water

Development (Andrew Rackers, State Conservation Engineer)

- 12:15pm Q&A
- 12:30pm Adjourn

Regional Conservation Partnership Program

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Overview

United States Department of Agriculture

The Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) promotes coordination of Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) conservation activities with partners that offer value-added contributions to expand our collective ability to address on-farm, watershed, and regional natural resource concerns.

Through RCPP, NRCS seeks to co-invest with partners to implement projects that demonstrate innovative solutions to conservation challenges and provide measurable improvements and outcomes tied to the resource concerns they seek to address.

Benefits

RCPP makes available a variety of NRCS conservation activities to help partners, agriculture producers, and private landowners address local and regional natural resource challenges.



How it Works

RCPP is a partner-driven approach to conservation that funds solutions to natural resource challenges on agricultural land. This program demonstrates the power of pubic-private partnerships in delivering results for agriculture and conservation. Once a RCPP project is established based on the partner's goals, producers and landowners are able to work with the partner and NRCS to create contracts that carry out agreed-to conservation activities. RCPP assistance can be used on all types of agricultural operations including:

- Conventional and organic
- Specialty crops and commodity crops
- Wildlife and forestry
- Historically underserved farmers
- Livestock Operations



How to Apply

Visit your local USDA Service center to see if your land is included in the scope of current RCPP projects. You can find your local service center find at farmers.gov/ service-locator.





[Missouri] Natural Resources Conservation Service nrcs.usda.gov/



United States Department of Agriculture

News Release

Missouri Natural Resources Conservation Service

601 Business Loop, 70 West, Suite 250 Columbia, MO 65203 Contact: NRCS Missouri Public Affairs nrcsmissouripa@usda.gov (573) 876-0911

NRCS Missouri Offers Funding Opportunities for Farmers and Landowners Through Seven Regional Partnership Projects

COLUMBIA, Mo., October 10, 2023 – USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Missouri invites qualifying farmers and landowners to apply to receive technical and financial assistance through the Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP). Funding is available for seven regional partnership projects. Applications must be received by Nov. 17, 2023, to be considered for this round of funding.

The RCPP projects and associated counties are:

• Program Restoring & Improving Monarch Ecosystems (PRIME) (Andrew, Atchison, Benton, Buchanan, Caldwell, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Clinton, Daviess, DeKalb, Gentry, Grundy, Harrison, Henry, Holt, Jackson, Johnson, Lafayette, Livingston, Mercer, Nodaway, Pettis, Platte, Ray, Saline and Worth counties):

This project aims to increase monarch and pollinator habitat in Northwest Missouri through land management practices, with an emphasis on prescribed burning and short-term land rental payments. PRIME will target lands currently enrolled in and expiring from Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), enhancing monarch habitat by maintaining and restoring diverse native plant communities. Pheasants Forever and Quail Forever are the lead partners on this project.

• **Precision Farm Data & Strategic Buffer Project** (*Chariton, Lafayette, Linn, Macon, Pettis, Randolph and Saline counties*):

This project focuses on utilizing on-farm yield data to identify non-profitable or marginal cropland acres to strategically establish field borders, pollinator habitat, wetlands and more to maximize profitability while improving water quality and wildlife habitat. The Missouri Department of Conservation is the lead partner on this project.

- Restoring Glade and Woodland Communities for Threatened Species in the Ozarks of Southeast Missouri (Bollinger, Butler, Carter, Iron, Madison, Oregon, Perry, Reynolds, Ripley, Shannon, St. Francois, St. Genevieve and Wayne counties): This project focuses on the restoration of glade, woodland and forest habitats on private land for at-risk species such as the Indiana Bat, Gray Bat, Mead's Milkweed, Hine's Emerald Dragonfly, Ozark Hellbender and the Grotto Sculpin. Practices that protect subsurface water quality will be implemented in the Karst area of Perry County to protect the Grotto Sculpin. The Missouri Department of Conservation is the lead partner on this project.
- Sand Prairie Restoration Partnership Program (Butler, Dunklin, Mississippi, New Madrid, Pemiscot, Scott and Stoddard counties):

This project focuses on the restoration and protection of sand prairie communities on private land through voluntary perpetual easements. Perpetual easements will help protect this critically endangered native community, providing essential habitat for multiple threatened and endangered species. The Missouri Department of Conservation is the lead partner on this project. For more information about this project, contact Joe Tousignant at joe.tousignant@usda.gov or (573)755-6072. Note: A prior press release incorrectly included Scotland County in this project. This press release lists the correct counties in the project.

 Missouri Targeted Conservation (portions of Audrain, Bates, Boone, Bollinger, Caldwell, Callaway, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Chariton, Clinton, Cole, Cooper, Daviess, DeKalb, Dunklin, Gasconade, Gentry, Grundy, Harrison, Howard, Jefferson, Johnson, Lafayette, Livingston, Macon, Mercer, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, Morgan, New Madrid, Osage, Pemiscot, Pettis, Randolph, Ray, Saline, Scott, Shelby, St. Francois, St. Louis, Ste. Genevieve, Stoddard, Vernon and Worth counties):

This project aims to identify areas within a watershed where identified conservation practices can achieve the most economically efficient loss reductions for sediment, nutrients and pathogens into waterways. The Blackwater, Cahokia-Joachim, South Fork Salt, Little Osage, Thompson, Upper Grand, Little River Ditches and Lower Missouri-Moreau watersheds have been identified as the Missouri focus areas. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources is the lead partner on this project.

• James River Headwaters RCPP (Greene & Webster counties):

This project aims to support the protection of clean drinking water, improve the local farming community and assist municipalities in meeting federal water quality requirements and improve aquatic ecosystem within the James River Headwaters. To accomplish this, this project is

emphasizing practices like riparian buffers, rotational grazing and soil health. The Watershed Committee of the Ozarks Inc. is the lead partner on this project.

• East Locust Creek Source Water Protection Project (Sullivan & Putnam counties): This project aims to prevent nonpoint source runoff from entering the East Locust Creek Reservoir (ELCR). The North Central Missouri Regional Water Commission is the lead partner on this project.

Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP)

RCPP promotes coordination of NRCS conservation activities with partners that offer value-added contributions to expand our collective ability to address on-farm, watershed and regional natural resource concerns. Through RCPP, NRCS seeks to co-invest with partners to implement projects that demonstrate innovative solutions to conservation challenges and provide measurable improvements and outcomes tied to the resource concerns they seek to address.

More information

Contact your local Field Office at <u>https://www.farmers.gov/working-with-us/service-center-locator</u>. To learn more about RCPP, visit our website <u>www.mo.nrcs.usda.gov.</u>

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer and lender.

NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

2024 ACEP-WRE Missouri Geographic Area Rate Cap



2024 Maximum Payment Rate for each Region

Appraisals are required for proposed easements Compensation will be 95% of the appraised Fair Market Value, not to exceed Geographic Area Rate Cap.

Ι	\$5,300 per acre
Π	\$6,550 per acre
III	\$5,000 per acre
IV	\$5,000 per acre
V	\$5,625 per acre
VI	\$6,650 per acre
VII	\$7,625 per acre

The conservation easement value will be the lower of:

- fair market value
- geographic rate cap
- Iandowner offer



5

Climate-Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) Mitigation Activities List for FY2024



Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

Mitigation Categories ^[5]	Code	Conservation Practice Standard Name ^{[2] [3]} (practice unit)	Code Conservation Stewards in program (CSP) Enhancement Activities ess) E327A Conservation cover for pollinators and beneficial insects ^[2] E327B Establish Monarch butterfly habitat E327B Establish Monarch butterfly habitat E327B Establish Monarch butterfly habitat E328A Resource conserving crop rotation E328B Improved resource conserving crop rotation E328E Soil health crop rotation E328E Modifications to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter E328D Perennial grain crop conservation rotation E328D Perennial grain crop conservation rotation E329D No till to reduce soil erosion E329D No till to increase plant-available moisture E329E No till to increase soil health and soil organic matter content E329E No till to reduce energy cres) None Available E340A Cover crop to reduce soil erosion E340B Intensive cover cropping to increase soil health and soil organic matter content E340D Lose of multi-species cover crops to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter E340				
Soil Health	<u>327</u>	Conservation Cover (acres)	<u>E327A</u>	Conservation cover for pollinators and beneficial insects ^[2]			
			<u>E327B</u>	Establish Monarch butterfly habitat			
	<u>328</u>	Conservation Crop Rotation	<u>E328A</u>	Resource conserving crop rotation			
		(acres)	<u>E328B</u>	Improved resource conserving crop rotation			
			<u>E328E</u>	Soil health crop rotation			
			<u>E328F</u>	Modifications to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter			
			<u>E328N</u>	Intercropping to improve soil health			
			<u>E3280</u>	Perennial grain crop conservation rotation			
	<u>329</u>	Residue and Tillage	<u>E329A</u>	No till to reduce soil erosion			
		Management, No Till (acres)	<u>E329B</u>	No till to reduce tillage induced particulate matter			
			<u>E329C</u>	No till to increase plant-available moisture			
			<u>E329D</u>	No till system to increase soil health and soil organic matter content			
			<u>E329E</u>	No till to reduce energy			
	<u>332</u>	Contour Buffer Strips (acres)		None Available			
	<u>336</u>	Soil Carbon Amendment (acres)*		None Available			
	<u>340</u>	Cover Crop (acres)	E340B Intensive cover cropping to increase soil health and soil organic matt				
			<u>E340B</u>	Intensive cover cropping to increase soil health and soil organic matter content			
			<u>E340C</u>	Use of multi-species cover crops to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter			
			E340CUse of multi-species cover crops to improve soil health and increase soil organic matterE340DIntensive orchard/vineyard floor cover cropping to increase soil health				
			<u>E340F</u>	Cover crop to minimize soil compaction			
			<u>E340G</u>				
			<u>E340H</u>	Cover crop to suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles			
			<u>E340I</u>	Using cover crops for biological strip till			
			E340J	Cover crop to improve moisture use efficiency and reduce salts			
	<u>345</u>	Residue and Tillage	<u>E345A</u>	Reduced tillage to reduce soil erosion			
		Management, Reduced Till	<u>E345B</u>	Reduced tillage to reduce tillage induced particulate matter			
		(acres)	E345C	Reduced tillage to increase plant-available moisture			
1			E345D	Reduced tillage to increase soil health and soil organic matter content			
			<u>E345E</u>	Reduced tillage to reduce energy use			

		Noted activi	Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional." ^[1]			
Mitigation Categories ^[5]	Code	Conservation Practice Standard Name ^{[2] [3]} (practice unit)	Code	<u>Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)</u> <u>Enhancement Activities</u>		
Soil Health	<u>386</u>	Field Border (acres)	<u>E386A</u>	Enhanced field borders to reduce soil erosion along the edge(s) of a field		
			<u>E386B</u>	Enhanced field borders to increase carbon storage along the edge(s) of the field		
			<u>E386C</u>	Enhanced field borders to decrease particulate emissions along the edge(s) of the field		
			<u>E386D</u>	Enhanced field borders to increase food for pollinators along the edge(s) of a field		
			<u>E386E</u>	Enhanced field borders to increase wildlife food and habitat along the edge(s) of a field		
	<u>393</u>	Filter Strips (acres)	<u>E393A</u>	Extend existing filter strip to reduce water quality impacts		
	<u>412</u>	Grassed Waterways (acres)	<u>E412A</u>	Enhance a grassed waterway		
	<u>484</u>	Mulching (acres)	<u>E484A</u>	Mulching to improve soil health		
			<u>E484B</u>	Reduce particulate matter emissions by using orchard or vineyard generated woody materials as mulch		
			<u>E484C</u>	Mulching with natural materials in specialty crops for weed control		
			E484D Lowbush Blueberry Mulching for Moisture Management			
	<u>585</u>	Stripcropping (acres)	None Available			
	<u>601</u>	Vegetative Barriers (feet)	None Available			
	<u>603</u>	Herbaceous Wind Barriers (feet)		None Available		
Nitrogen Management	<u>590</u>	Nutrient Management (acres)	E590AImproving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient lossesE590BReduce risks of nutrient loss to surface water by utilizing precision			
			E590B Reduce risks of nutrient loss to surface water by utilizing precision agriculture technologies E590C Improving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient losses pasture E590D Reduce risks of nutrient losses to surface and groundwater by increasing setback awareness via precision technology			
Livestock	<u>317</u>	Composting Facility (number)*		None Available		
Partnership	<u>313</u>	Waste Storage Facility (number)* • Used to implement compost bedded-pack ^[4]	None Available			
	<u>366</u>	Anaerobic Digester (number)		None Available		
	<u>367</u>	 Roofs and Covers (number)* Used to cover a waste management facility to capture biogas^[4] 	None Available			
	<u>592</u>	Feed Management (animal unit) [*] • Used to reduce enteric methane emissions ^[4]		None Available		
	<u>632</u>	Waste Separation Facility (number)*		None Available		

Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

Mitigation Categories 🕫	Code	Conservation Practice Standard Name ^{[2][3]} (practice unit)	Code	Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) Enhancement Activities
Grazing and Pasture	<u>314</u>	 Brush Management (acres)* Used to remove woody invasive vegetation and the removed material will be mechanically treated and left onsite ^[4] 	<u>E314A</u>	Brush management to improve wildlife habitat*
	<u>315</u>	 Herbaceous Weed Treatment (acres)* Used to release desired deep rooted perennial species^[4] 	<u>E315A</u>	Herbaceous weed treatment to create desired plant communities consistent with the ecological site*
	<u>338</u>	Prescribed Burning (acres)*	<u>E338A</u>	Strategically planned, patch burning for grazing distribution and wildlife habitat*
	<u>512</u>	Pasture and Hay Planting	<u>E512A</u>	Cropland conversion to grass-based agriculture to reduce soil erosion
		(acres)	<u>E512B</u>	Forage and biomass planting to reduce soil erosion or increase organic matter to build soil health
			<u>E512C</u>	Cropland conversion to grass for soil organic matter improvement
			<u>E512D</u>	Forage plantings that help increase organic matter in depleted soils
			<u>E512I</u>	Establish pollinator and/or beneficial insect and/or monarch habitat
			<u>E512J</u>	Establish wildlife corridors to provide habitat continuity or access to water
			<u>E512L</u>	Diversifying forage base with interseeding forbs and legumes to increase pasture quality
			<u>E512M</u>	Forage plantings that improve wildlife habitat cover and shelter or structure and composition
	<u>528</u>	Prescribed Grazing (acres)	<u>E528A</u>	Maintaining quantity and quality of forage for animal health and productivity
			<u>E528F</u>	Stockpiling cool season forage to improve structure and composition or plant productivity and health
			<u>E528G</u>	Improved grazing management on pasture for plant productivity and health with monitoring activities
			<u>E528H</u>	Prescribed grazing to improve/maintain riparian and watershed function- elevated water temperature
			<u>E528I</u>	Grazing management that protects sensitive areas -surface or ground water from nutrients
			<u>E528J</u>	Prescribed grazing on pastureland that improves riparian and watershed function
			<u>E528L</u>	Prescribed grazing that improves or maintains riparian and watershed function-erosion
			<u>E528M</u>	Grazing management that protects sensitive areas from gully erosion
			<u>E528N</u>	Improved grazing management through monitoring activities
			<u>E5280</u>	Clipping mature forages to set back vegetative growth for improved forage quality

Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

I				
Mitigation Categories ^[5]	Code	Conservation Practice Standard Name ^{[2][3]} (practice unit)	Code	Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) Enhancement Activities
Grazing and Pasture	<u>528</u>	Prescribed Grazing (acres)	<u>E528P</u>	Implementing Bale or Swath Grazing to increase organic matter and reduce nutrients in surface water
			<u>E528R</u>	Management intensive rotational grazing
			<u>E528S</u>	Soil Health Improvements on Pasture
			<u>E528T</u>	Grazing to Reduce Wildfire Risks on Forests
			E528U	Contingency Planning for Resiliency
	<u>550</u>	Range Planting (acres)	<u>E550A</u>	Range planting for increasing/maintaining organic matter
			<u>E550B</u>	Range planting for improving forage, browse, or cover for wildlife
Agroforestry,	<u>311</u>	Alley Cropping (acres)		None Available
Forestry and Wildlife	<u>342</u>	Critical Area Planting (acres)		None Available
Habitat	<u>379</u>	Forest Farming (acres)		None Available
	<u>380</u>	Windbreaks/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation (feet)		None Available
	<u>381</u>	Silvopasture (acres)	<u>E381A</u>	Silvopasture to improve wildlife habitat
	<u>383</u>	Fuel Break (acres)*	E383A	Grazing-maintained fuel break to reduce the risk of fire*
	<u>384</u>	Woody Residue Treatment (acres)*	<u>E384A</u>	Biochar production from woody residue*
	<u>390</u>	Riparian Herbaceous Cover (acres)	<u>E390A</u>	Increase riparian herbaceous cover width for sediment and nutrient reduction
			<u>E390B</u>	Increase riparian herbaceous cover width to enhance wildlife habitat
	<u>391</u>	Riparian Forest Buffer (acres)	<u>E391A</u>	Increase riparian forest buffer width for sediment and nutrient reduction
			<u>E391B</u>	Increase stream shading for stream temperature reduction
			<u>E391C</u>	Increase riparian forest buffer width to enhance wildlife habitat
	<u>420</u>	Wildlife Habitat Planting (acres)*	<u>E420A</u>	Establish pollinator habitat*
			<u>E420B</u>	Establish monarch butterfly habitat*
	<u>422</u>	Hedgerow Planting (feet)		None Available
	<u>612</u>	Tree-Shrub Establishment (acres)	<u>E612B</u>	Planting for high carbon sequestration rate
			<u>E612C</u>	Establishing tree/shrub species to restore native plant communities
			<u>E612G</u>	Tree/shrub planting for wildlife food
	<u>643</u>	Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities (ac)* • Used to restore floodplain hydrology ^[4]	E643D	Low-tech process-based restoration to enhance floodplain connectivity*
		Forest Stand Improvement	<u>E666A</u>	Maintaining and improving forest soil quality*
	<u>666</u>	(acres)*	<u>E666D</u>	Forest management to enhance understory vegetation*
			<u>E666E</u>	Reduce height of the forest understory to limit wildfire risk $\!\!\!\!\!\!*$
			<u>E666F</u>	Reduce forest stand density to create open stand structure*

Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

Conservation Practice Mitigation Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) Code Standard Name^{[2] [3]} Code Categories [5] **Enhancement Activities** (practice unit) <u>E666H</u> Agroforestry, 666 Forest Stand Improvement Increase on-site carbon storage* **Forestry and** (acres)* E666I Crop tree management for mast production* Wildlife <u>E666J</u> Facilitating oak forest regeneration* Habitat E666K Creating structural diversity with patch openings* <u>E666L</u> Forest Stand Improvement to rehabilitate degraded hardwood stands* E666P Summer roosting habitat for native forest-dwelling bat species* <u>E666R</u> Forest songbird habitat maintenance* **E666S** Facilitating longleaf pine regeneration and establishment* Restoration 453 Land Reclamation, Landslide None Available of Disturbed Treatment (acres) Lands <u>543</u> Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land None Available (acres) <u>372</u> **Combustion System** E372A Switch to Renewable Power Source Energy, Combustion, Improvement (number) **& Electricity** Used for stationary E372B Renewable Energy Source for Large Internal Combustion Engines Efficiency or mobile engine replacement or repower to electric motor 374 **Energy Efficient Agricultural** None Available Operation (number)* <u>430</u> Irrigation Pipeline (feet)* None Available Used to reduce energy use^[4] Irrigation System, None Available 441 Microirrigation (acres)* Used to reduce energy • use^[4] <u>442</u> Sprinkler System (acres)* None Available Used to reduce energy • use^[4] Pumping Plant (number)* <u>E533C</u> Install VFDs on pumps* <u>533</u> Used to reduce energy use^[4] E533D Complete pumping plant evaluation for energy savings* <u>672</u> **Energy Efficient Building** None Available Envelope (number)* <u>670</u> **Energy Efficient Lighting** None Available System (number)* Wetlands Wetland Restoration (acres)* <u>657</u> None Available <u>449</u> <u>E449B</u> Alternated Wetting and Drying (AWD) of rice fields* Rice Irrigation Water Management (acres)* Used as part of an • alternated wetting and drying (AWD) system in

Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

5

rice fields

Notes

In addition to the designated CSAF conservation activities listed, conservation practices that facilitate the management or the function of a CSAF activity but may not achieve the desired effects on their own (and may not have a quantifiable benefit), may be planned as applicable in consultation with your local professional conservation planner. Examples: Tree-Shrub Establishment (612) may need facilitating practices such as Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490) or Access Control (472). Conservation Crop Rotation (328) may need facilitating practices such as Pest Management Conservation System (595), Cover Crops (340), or Irrigation Water Management (449). Waste Separation Facility (632) may need facilitating practices such as Waste Transfer (634) or Roofs and Covers (367). Prescribed Grazing (528) may need facilitating practices such as Watering Facility (614), Stream Crossing (578), Brush Management (314), Fence (382), or Livestock Shelter Structure (576).

[1] Provisional activities and their associated enhancements are added under the premise that they may provide benefits, and a quantification methodology will be evaluated during the fiscal year. Practices may be removed from the mitigation practice list in a subsequent fiscal year if quantification is not possible. Unless otherwise noted, listed practices have quantifiable carbon sequestration and/or GHG reduction methodologies described in COMET-Planner (comet-planner.com). New practices will be added as science progresses and scientifically defensible quantification methodologies are identified to accompany existing and new NRCS conservation practice standards.

[2] The included Conservation Practice Standard and Conservation Stewardship Program links provide national information. Please consult the NRCS office at your local USDA Service Center for any local and state level criteria. Visit <u>farmers.gov/service-locator</u> to find contact information for your local office.

[3] The following were removed from the list for FY2024: Conservation Practice Standard Upland Wildlife Habitat and Management (Code 645) and Enhancements (E645B) Manage existing shrub thickets to provide adequate shelter for wildlife, (E645C) Edge feathering for wildlife cover, (E328G) Crop rotation on recently converted CRP grass/legume cover for soil organic matter improvement, (E528D) Grazing management for improving quantity and quality of food or cover and shelter for wildlife, and (E528E) Improved grazing management for enhanced plant structure and composition for wildlife. Two enhancements were archived and are no longer available within CSP: (E512E) Forage and biomass planting that produces feedstock for biofuels or energy production and (E512K) Establishing native species into forage base to improve diversity for both livestock and wildlife. Bundles for CSP are no longer listed in this document, refer to program guidance for CSP information on the use of appropriate bundles.

[4] The practice is considered a mitigation activity only when implemented in the specified way. A brief description of the specific implementation is identified and associated narratives for conservation planning purposes are included at the end of the document. When a specific implementation is not specified, any narrative of the practice may be used for planning under the assumption that it will provide mitigation benefits.

[5] Mitigation categories are for organizational purposes only and do not indicate land use restrictions for the practice.



NRCS Narrative Crosswalk for Conservation Planners

Code	Conservation Practice Standard	Code	Narrative
313	Waste Storage Structure	01N	Compost Bedded Pack waste storage facility - a livestock agricultural waste storage fabricated structure where manure is composted within the animal housing
314	Brush Management	03N	Remove woody (non-herbaceous and succulent) invasive vegetation to maintain or enhance deep rooted native perennial grass and forb communities, leaving treated woody material onsite to mitigate above ground carbon loss
315	Herbaceous Weed Treatment	01N	Removal of herbaceous weeds to release desired deep rooted perennial grass and forb species
367	Roofs and Covers	01N	Capture Biogas - Place a rigid, semirigid, or flexible manufactured membrane, composite material, or roof structure placed over a waste management facility to capture biogas and reduce odor
372	Combustion System Improvement	02N	Stationary engine to electric motor replacement or repower - Replace or repower an existing stationary engine with an electric motor
372	Combustion System Improvement	05N	Mobile internal combustion engine to electric motor replacement - Replace an existing on-farm mobile device (i.e., tractor, loader, forklift, etc.) powered by an internal combustion engine with a new mobile device powered by an electric motor
430	Irrigation Pipeline	01N	Replacement of an earthen channel that is supplied by pumping water with a closed conduit, resulting in enhanced conveyance efficiency and reduced energy use
441	Irrigation System, Microirrigation	02N	Switching from higher to lower pressure irrigation systems without increasing irrigated acres, resulting in enhanced application efficiency and reduced energy use
442	Sprinkler System	02N	Utilization of variable rate irrigation (VRI) technology, switching from higher to lower pressure irrigation systems, and sprinkler head renozzling without increasing irrigated acres, resulting in enhanced application efficiency and reduced energy use
449	Irrigation Water Management	03N	Managing water levels in rice fields to include dry down between full flood conditions prior to re-flooding (alternated wetting and drying) to minimize greenhouse gas production in accordance with an irrigation water management plan
533	Pumping Plant	02N	Replacing existing pumps with high-efficiency pump
592	Feed Management	03N	Reduce enteric methane emissions from animal feeding operations by manipulating the quantity and quality of dietary nutrients, incorporating feed additives and feed ingredients, or adjusting concentrate to forage ratio in livestock and poultry diets to lower methane produced and emitted during digestion
643	Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	01N	Restoration of streams and associated floodplains using low-tech structures (such as beaver dam analogs or other stick-and-stone structures) to kick-start natural ecological and hydrologic processes required for maintenance of healthy and functioning streams and associated floodplains



FACTSHEET • OCTOBER 2023



Nov. 7, 2023

Missouri State Technical Committee Meeting

Boone Electric Cooperative







NRCS Update

Nov. 7, 2023

Ashley Johnson Acting State Conservationist







Today I would like to ...

- Discuss FY23 Farm Bill success
- Look ahead at FY24 FB Rollouts
- Staffing





FY23 Farm Bill success 🕜 🖉 🖉 🖉

Total Obligated = \$74.6 Million

- Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) \$27.5 Million*
- Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) \$45.8 Million*
- Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) \$1.3 Million

*Some increase in funds available were through the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)

Natural Resources Conservation Service

nrcs.usda.gov/





FY23 Farm Bill success 🕗 🕗 ᠔

All CSP Fund Pools in FY23 \$27.5 Million, 284,500 Acres

Renewal	189 contracts	10.4 million	117,000 acres
Classic	211 contracts	12 million	122,000 acres
IRA	111 Contracts	5.1 million	45,500 acres

All EQIP FUND POOLS FY23 \$45.8Million, 138,200 acres

Classic	1,321	41.3 million	116,700 acres
IRA	38	4.5 million	21,500 acres

Natural Resources Conservation Service

nrcs.usda.gov/





FY23 Farm Bill success (cont.) 🛆 🛆 🔾

Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP)

RCPP	58 contracts	1.3 million	8,500 acres

Natural Resources Conservation Service



nrcs.usda.gov/



FY23 Top-5 practices utilized in Missouri

EQIP

EQIP-IRA

Conservation

nrcs.usda.gov

Service

In the second se	i i H		and Covers			Crop	and Hayland Planting	Forages for Grazing Systems	Manage ment	and Tillage Manage ment No-Till
--	-------	--	---------------	--	--	------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------	---



FY23 Top-5 practices utilized in Missouri

CSP-Classic

CSP-IRA

Pest Management	Close structures for waterfowl	Nutrient Management	Precision pesticide application technique	Terrace	Cover Crop	Nutrient Management	Pest Manageme nt Best manageme nt Technique s	Close structures for waterfowl and winter habitat	Clipping mature forages to set back vegetative growth
--------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------	--	---------	------------	------------------------	--	---	--



FY24 Advisory Allocations for Missouri

FARM BILL

IRA

EQIP	27 million	EQIP	38.6 million
CSP	22.4 million	CSP	14.7 million

NRCS staffing update





Dedicated staff to make it happen

NRCS

State Training Coordinator – GS 12 Ecological Science Staff- Supervisory Role Area Outreach / Training Specialist – GS 9/11 (4 total)

Partner Staff

MASWCD – Training Coordinator – Contribution Agreement

University of Missouri – 4 Research Stations will host a Training Coordinator – Contribution Agreement currently with those individuals to help build a position description.



Area Rapid Response Team — New For FY24

- 1 per Area- TEAM
 - Resource Conservationist 12- Supervisor
 - Resource Conservationist 9/11
 - Soil Conservation Technician 7/8
 - Natural Resource Specialist 7/9

USDA-NRCS Our Mission

"Helping People Help the Land"

We deliver conservation solutions so agricultural producers can protect natural resources and feed a growing world.





FSA Update

Nov. 7, 2023

Joe Aull FSA State Executive Director







RD Update

Nov. 7, 2023

Kyle Wilkens RD State Director













How does RCPP work?

- What RCPP helps producers protect working agricultural lands to ensure resilience to climate change by increasing the sustainable use of soil, water, wildlife and related natural resources.
- How NRCS and partners co-invest in conservation activities to address resource concerns at a regional scale.
- Where Private or Tribal agricultural land, nonindustrial private forest land, or associated land on which an eligible activity would benefit agricultural land.
- Duration RCPP projects are typically five years.





Why apply for RCPP funding?

- To target NRCS funding on specific resource concerns within a specific geographic area.
- To help producers and landowners receive assistance to address critical resource concerns on private agricultural and forest lands, including lands held by Native American governments and entities.
- To amplify the impact of NRCS funding with partner contributions.





Types of RCPP funding

Classic

nited States Department of Agriculture

USDA

- NRCS reimburses producers directly for Financially Assisted (FA) activities.
- NRCS often delivers a majority of Technical Assistance (TA) in support of producer contracts and easements.
- Partner's primary role is to provide outreach, measure impact, and deliver partner contributions to amplify NRCS funding.

Alternative Funding Arrangements/Grants

- Partner installs practices for producers or reimburses producers for FA activities.
- Partner delivers all project TA in support of producer contracts and easements.
- NRCS support limited to non-delegable tasks such as confirming the eligibility of producers and land, AGI verification, and compliance with NEPA/HEL/WC.





FY23 Farm Bill and IRA funding

- \$500M available for Classic and AFA projects
 - \$225M from IRA funding
 - \$275M from Farm Bill 2018 funding
- Proposals will not specify whether they seek Farm Bill or IRA funding.
- When making awards using IRA funds, NRCS will prioritize projects that meet the goals of the IRA.
- Projected to have \$800M for FY24
RCPP funding pools

State/Multi-State

 Can include any RCPP resource concern or conservation benefit.

Critical Conservation Areas

- Project <u>and producer</u> <u>contracts or easements</u> under this project must address at least one CCA-specific priority resource concern.
- A single proposal cannot include multiple CCAs.
- See the <u>RCPP website</u> for more information.





Who can Apply for RCPP?

From 7 CFR 1464.5 (b)

- 1. An agricultural or silvicultural producer association or other group of producers;
- 2. A State or unit of local government, including a conservation district;
- 3. An Indian Tribe;
- 4. A farmer cooperative;
- 5. An institution of higher education;
- 6. A water district, irrigation district, acequia, rural water district or association, or other organization with specific water delivery authority to producers on agricultural land;
- 7. A municipal water or wastewater treatment entity;
- 8. An organization or entity with an established history of working cooperatively with producers on agricultural land, as determined by the Secretary, to address -
 - . Local conservation priorities related to agricultural production, wildlife habitat development, and NIPF management; or
 - ii. Critical watershed-scale soil erosion, water quality, sediment reduction, or other natural resource concerns; or
- 9. An eligible entity as identified by NRCS pursuant to <u>7 CFR part 1468</u>.





How can RCPP funding be used?

Financial Assistance

- These funds are used to implement eligible activities on eligible land.
- Typically, 75% of an RCPP Project

Technical Assistance

- **Implementation TA (TA-I)** are RCPP funds used to support FA. This may include planning, design, installation and checkout.
- Enhancement TA (TA-E) are RCPP funds for project-wide support activities, such as outreach and outcomes assessment.
- NRCS TA are project-funds used by NRCS in support of producer contracts and easements.

RCPP funds pay for eligible activities, not people.



What land is eligible for RCPP funding?

From 7 CFR 1464.5 (b)

- 1. The land is private or Tribal agricultural land, nonindustrial private forest land, or associated land on which an eligible activity would help achieve the conservation benefits defined for an approved project; or
- 2. The land is publicly owned agricultural land or associated land and the enrollment of such land is
 - i. Appropriate for the type of eligible activity, and
 - ii. The eligible activity to be implemented on the public land is necessary and will contribute meaningfully to achieving conservation benefits consistent with an approved project.





What is an Eligible Activity?

Activity Type	Similar Program Authorities
Land Management	EQIP ACEP-WRE/HFRP — restoration component PL-566 — land treatment activities
Rental	CRP
US-Held Easement	ACEP-ALE/WRE & HFRP (easement acquisition component)
Entity-Held Easement	ACEP-ALE/WRE & HFRP (easement acquisition component)
Watershed Project	PL-566





How Technical Assistance works

Enhancement Technical Assistance (TA-E)

- Max 7% of the total federal share of the project.
- For project-wide support of the project including outreach, communications, outcomes assessment and project management.

Implementation Technical Assistance (TA-I)

- Typically, 18% of the total federal share of the project.
- For support of FA activities such as planning, practice survey, layout, design, installation and certification.
- For Classic TA-I is an NRCS responsibility and may be delegated to the partner only after demonstrating the capacity to perform work according to NRCS specifications and the NRCS planning process.





RCPP Summary

Purpose	Partner-driven solutions to conservation on agricultural land
Eligible Activities	Land Management, Rental, Easements, and Public Works (PL- 566)
Agreement Type	Partnership Agreement (Classic, AFA, or Grant) with lead partner & Supplemental Agreements with non-lead partners (Classic or AFA if needed)
Reporting Requirements	Annual performance reports on deliverables, including environmental outcomes
Payments to Producers	Directly to Producers for Classic and through a Partner for AFAs/Grants





RCPP Project Proposal Preparation



What is included in an RCPP proposal?

- Eligible partners submit proposals that outline:
 - The geographic area of the project
 - The resource concerns to be addressed
 - A proposed budget for use of NRCS funding to address these resource concerns
 - A list of partner contributions and how they will amplify federal funding
 - Any specific work (parcels/easements) targeted by the partner that are potentially critical to the success of the project.





How are proposals evaluated?

- Impact How will the project address resource concerns in the proposed geographic area?
- **Partner Contributions** How will contributions amplify the impact of NRCS funding?
- Partnership & Project Management How effectively can the experience and resources of the partners support this project?





Active RCPP Projects in Missouri





East Locust Creek Source Water Protection Project

- Objective: Prevent nonpoint source runoff from entering the East Locust Creek Reservoir (ELCR).
- Lead Partner: North Central Missouri Regional Water Commission
- Project Area: parts of Putnam and Sullivan counties



Missouri Targeted Conservation

- Objective: To identify areas within a watershed where identified conservation practices can achieve the most economically efficient loss reductions for sediment, nutrients and pathogens into waterways.
- Lead Partner: Missouri Department of Natural Resources
- Project Area: The Blackwater, Cahokia-Joachim, South Fork Salt, Little Osage, Thompson, Upper Grand, Little River Ditches and Lower Missouri-Moreau watersheds





Precision Farm Data and Strategic Buffer Project

- Objective: Utilize on-farm yield data to identify nonprofitable or marginal cropland acres to strategically establish field borders, pollinator habitat, wetlands and more to maximize profitability while improving water quality and wildlife habitat.
- Lead Partner: Missouri Department of Conservation
- Project Area: Chariton, Lafayette, Linn, Macon, Pettis, Randolph and Saline counties



Restoring Glade and Woodland Communities for Threatened Species in the Ozarks of Southeast Missouri

- Objective: Restoration of glade, woodland and forest habitats on private land for at-risk species such as the Indiana Bat, Gray Bat, Mead's Milkweed, Hine's Emerald Dragonfly, Ozark Hellbender and the Grotto Sculpin. Practices that protect subsurface water quality will be implemented in the Karst area of Perry County to protect the Grotto Sculpin.
- Lead Partner: Missouri Department of Conservation
- Project Area: Bollinger, Butler, Carter, Iron, Madison, Oregon, Perry, Reynolds, Ripley, Shannon, St. Francois, St. Genevieve and Wayne counties





Sand Prairie Restoration Partnership Program

- Objective: To focus on the restoration and protection of sand prairie communities on private land through voluntary perpetual easements. Perpetual easements will help protect this critically endangered native community, providing essential habitat for multiple threatened and endangered species.
- Lead Partner: Missouri Department of Conservation
- Project Area: Butler, Dunklin, Mississippi, New Madrid, Pemiscot, Scott and Stoddard counties



James River Headwaters RCPP

- Objective: Support the protection of clean drinking water, improve the local farming community and assist municipalities in meeting federal water quality requirements and improve aquatic ecosystem within the James River Headwaters. To accomplish this, this project is emphasizing practices like riparian buffers, rotational grazing and soil health.
- Lead Partner: Watershed Committee of the Ozarks Inc.
- Project Area: Greene and Webster counties





Program Restoring and Improving Monarch Ecosystems (PRIME)

- Objective: To increase monarch and pollinator habitat in Northwest Missouri through land management practices, with an emphasis on prescribed burning and short-term land rental payments. PRIME targets lands currently enrolled in and expiring from Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), enhancing monarch habitat by maintaining and restoring diverse native plant communities.
- Lead Partner: Pheasants Forever and Quail Forever
- Project Area: Andrew, Atchison, Benton, Buchanan, Caldwell, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Clinton, Daviess, DeKalb, Gentry, Grundy, Harrison, Henry, Holt, Jackson, Johnson, Lafayette, Livingston, Mercer, Nodaway, Pettis, Platte, Ray, Saline and Worth counties

Program for the Restoration and Improvement of Monarch Ecosystems (PRIME)





Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP)

Nov. 7, 2023

Chris Hamilton

Assistant State Conservationist for Water Resources and Easements







ACEP-Wetland Reserve Easements

- Geographic Rate Caps (GARCs)
 - Area Wide Market Analysis
 - Not to exceed rates
 - Appraisals

ACEP-Wetland Reserve Easements

NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION





2024 ACEP-WRE Missouri Geographic Area Rate Cap

2024 Maximum Payment Rate for each Region Appraisals are required for proposed easements Compensation will be 95% of the appraised Fair Market Value, not to exceed Geographic Area Rate Cap. \$5,300 per acre \$6,550 per acre \$5,000 per acre \$5,000 per acre \$5,625 per acre \$6,650 per acre \$7,625 per acre

Ι

Π

Ш

IV

V

VI

VII

The conservation easement value will be the lower of:

fair market value	
geographic rate cap	
landowner offer	
	October 2023



ACEP-Wetland Reserve Easements

Please submit questions or concerns regarding GARCs by November 14, 2023, to <u>Chris.Hamilton@usda.gov</u>





Subcommittee Reports

Nov. 7, 2023







ACT NOW Initiatives Nov. 7, 2023

Marilyn Gann

Assistant State Conservationist for Programs

Selma Mascaro

State Resource Conservationist

Andy Rackers State Conservation Engineer







About "ACT NOW"

- Allows NRCS to immediately approve and obligate a ranked application in a designated ranking pool when an eligible application meets or exceeds a State-determined minimum ranking score.
 - No planning or application steps are skipped.
- Applications below the minimum ranking score can be preapproved in ranking order after the ranking deadline has passed and if funding allows.
- Ranking pools not using ACT NOW rank all applications received and fund in ranking score order.





About "ACT NOW" (cont.)

- New in spring of 2022. Missouri started using ACT NOW in 2023
- Considerations identifying ACT NOW options
 - New Opportunities
 - Native Forage Initiative
 - Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)

Outreach

- Historically Underserved
- Workload
 - Applications funded sooner
 - Complexity of conservation practices and planning needed considered in identifying ACT NOW options



Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)

Helps farmers and ranchers implement conservation practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and/or increase storage of carbon in their soil and trees.

Applications eligible for IRA funding can include only conservation practices and enhancement activities and their support practices.

• See the "Climate -Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) Mitigation Activities List" in today's packet.



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

Climate-Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) Mitigation **Activities List for FY2024**



nrcs.usda.gov

Highlighted activities have been added to the list in FY2024. *Noted activities are added to the list as "provisional."^[1]

Mitigation Categories ^[5]	Code	<u>Conservation Practice</u> <u>Standard Name^{[2] [3]} (practice unit)</u>		<u>Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)</u> Enhancement Activities	ural
Soil Health	<u>327</u>	Conservation Cover (acres)	<u>E327A</u>	Conservation cover for pollinators and beneficial insects ^[2]	ources
			<u>E327B</u>	Establish Monarch butterfly habitat	servation /ice



2023 "ACT NOW" in Missouri

Ranking Pool	Eligible Applications Received	Applications Funded		plications rred to 2024				
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)								
et Louis Urban Hub	9	9		0				
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)								
Aissouri Native Forage Initiative	434	424		10				
Nutrient Management	14	6		8				
Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)								
ocially Disadvantaged	4	4		0				
Total Contracted throu	ugh Act Now in 2023:	\$8,168,495						



FY 2023 Summary

Applications Funded: 424 Funds Obligated: \$6,541,399.77 Acres: 12,557

Unfunded Applications on 9/30/23: 10

Unfunded amount: \$80,000







NFI ripple effects

Multiple Agencies Working Together

- Common message
- Partner Staffing adding resources
- Educational Workshops/Field Days
- Additional Incentives
- Expanding Opportunities

Timing

- Statewide drought conditions
- ACT NOW process





2024 Proposed "ACT NOW" in Missouri

Act Now Ranking Pool	Where Available					
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)						
St Louis Urban Hub	Focused Area					
Organic Initiative	Statewide					
Northern Bobwhite Initiative	Focused Area					
Conservation Plans and Activities	Statewide					
Livestock Water Availability	Focused Area					
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)						
Missouri Native Forage Initiative	Statewide					
Nutrient Management	Statewide					
Socially Disadvantaged	Statewide					
Soil Health Cropland	Statewide	Natural				
		Resources Conservation				
These ranking pools were also Act Now in 2023						
		nrcs.usda.gov				



2024 Proposed "ACT NOW" in Missouri (cont.)

Act Now Ranking Pool	Where Available			
Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)				
Socially Disadvantaged	Statewide			
Organic Initiative	Statewide			
Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)				
Nonindustrial Private Forestland	Statewide			
AgLand	Statewide			
^				



<u>Purpose</u>: Develop a primary water source and delivery point for livestock to increase drought resiliency.

Location selected based on multiple factors:

- 1. 5-County area subjected to extreme drought in consecutive years (2022 & 2023)
- 2. County land use = grazing greater than 20%
- 3. Not part of a recently awarded RCPP project addressing drought resiliency

Technical and Financial Assistance Routes: EQIP & SWCD (PILOT)









EQIP Practices (Primary):

- 1. Pond
- 2. Grade Stabilization Structure (water impounding)
- 3. Spring Development
- 4. Water Well
- 5. Rural Water Connection
- 6. Watering Facility

SWCD Practice (PILOT): Water Impoundment Cleanout



Additional EQIP Eligibility:

- 1. Existing livestock operation
- 2. Existing Water Source Degradation:
 - 1. Quantity
 - 2. Quality
- 3. Immovable barrier between livestock and existing water source

SWCD Pond Cleanout Limitations (PILOT):

- 1. \$6,000 max per participant
- 2. Requires spoil to spread







Next meeting: Virtual, Date & Time TBD



USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.