

Ranking Pool: FY24 IRA On Farm Energy Update

Program: EQIP States: WA (Admin) Pool Status: Active

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023 **Template Status:** Active

Last 01/02/202

**Last** Joyce Trevithick **Modified By:** Modified: 4

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	35	100
Inefficient energy use	0	35	100
Source water depletion	0	30	100

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	50	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	50	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

01/02/2024 Page 1 of 5

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	5	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	90	90
Surface water depletion	0	5	90

#### **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Combustion System Improvement	372	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	374	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	441	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Lighting System	670	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Building Envelope	672	Conservation Practices

## **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

### **Display Group: FY24 IRA On Farm Energy Initiative (Active)**

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

01/02/2024 Page 2 of 5

Section: Location					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Maskington Clata	Washington State				
Washington State	Otherwise				

## **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Category					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Application is for FY24 On-Farm Energy (if not, STOP this ranking ar	YES				
decline this pool)	NO				

## **Survey: Program Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants	YES	40
property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as a Historically Underserved (HU) client (Beginning Farmer Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Rancher, Limited Resource Farmer	YES	50
Rancher, Veteran Farmer Rancher) participant, AND the same HU status has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	NO	0
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed supporting practices. (CPS311, CPS313, CPS314, CPS315, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS336, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS367, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS383,	YES	20
CPS384, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS430, CPS441, CPS442, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS533, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS592, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS643, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0
Contract expiration date is four years or less (expiration date ending	YES	50
12/31/2028 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP supporting conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360,	YES	10
CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value: 10 pts	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or	YES	10
FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	NO	0

Section: FY24 Program questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Application has at least one supporting management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed supporting practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378,	YES	20		
CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS326, CPS340, CPS342, CPS376, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0		

# **Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: FY24 Resource Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select the one that applies for FY24 Statewide On-Farm Energy	The application contains MORE THAN ONE of the CSAF primary practices. (40 pts) 372, 374, 430, 441, 442, 533, 670, 672	40
	This application contains ONLY ONE CSAF primary practices, with or without additional supporting practices. (10 pts) 372, 374, 430, 441, 442, 533, 670, 672	10
	The application contains only supporting practices. (-50 pts)	-50
(Select ONE) Water Conservation, will the proposed project conserve water by	Implementing 430 to Replacement of an earthen channel that is supplied by pumping water with a closed conduit, resulting in enhanced conveyance efficiency and reduced energy use. (20 pts)	20
	Implementing 441 Switching from higher to lower pressure irrigation system, or 442 to Utilize variable rate irrigation (VRI) technology, switching from higher to lower pressure irrigation systems, and sprinkler head renozzling without increasing irrigated acres (20 pts)	20
	None	0

01/02/2024 Page 4 of 5

a. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 100,000 pounds or more. (60 pts)  b. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 75,000 pounds or	Points 60
been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated	
more. (40 pts	40
c. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 50,000 pounds or more. (25 pts)	25
d. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 25,000 pounds or more. (5 pts)	5
e. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 10,000 pounds to 24999 pounds. (0 pts)	0
f. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by less than 10,000 pounds. (-20 pts)	-20
1. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency 50 percent or more? (40 pts)	40
2. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency between 30 and 50 percent? (25 pts)	25
3. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency less than 30 percent? (5 pts)	5
s, YES	40
e ine NO	0
	been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 50,000 pounds or more. (25 pts)  d. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 25,000 pounds or more. (5 pts)  e. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 10,000 pounds to 24999 pounds. (0 pts)  f. Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by less than 10,000 pounds. (-20 pts)  1. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency 50 percent or more? (40 pts)  2. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency between 30 and 50 percent? (25 pts)  3. Is the estimated energy cost efficiency less than 30 percent? (5 pts)  s,  YES

01/02/2024 Page 5 of 5