



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool:** ID-FY24-ACEP-WRE

**Program:** ACEP-WRE

**Template:** FY 2021 ACEP-WRE General

**Last Modified By:** Diane French

**Pool Status:** Active

**Template Status:** Active

**Last Modified:** 11/09/2023

**States:** ID (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	10	20	80
Concentrated erosion	0	5	70
Degraded plant condition	0	5	70
Field pesticide loss	0	5	70
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	70
Fire management	0	2	5
Long term protection of land	10	10	80
Pest pressure	0	5	70
Salt losses to water	0	3	5
Source water depletion	0	5	70
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	70
Terrestrial habitat	10	20	80
Weather resilience	0	5	20
Wind and water erosion	0	5	15

**Aquatic habitat**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	50	67	100
Elevated water temperature	0	33	50

**Concentrated erosion**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	70	100
Classic gully erosion	0	15	50
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	15	50

**Degraded plant condition**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

**Field pesticide loss**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	75
Pesticides transported to surface water	25	50	100

**Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	35	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	28	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	4	15
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	4	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	29	100

**Fire management**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	100	100	100

**Long term protection of land**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Loss of functions and values	85	95	100
Threat of conversion	0	5	15

**Pest pressure**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Plant pest pressure	100	100	100

**Salt losses to water**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

**Source water depletion**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Groundwater depletion	25	40	60
Surface water depletion	40	60	75

**Storage and handling of pollutants**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	45	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	55	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	--	50
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	--	100

**Terrestrial habitat**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

**Weather resilience**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Drifted snow	0	--	25
Naturally available moisture use	0	10	25
Ponding and flooding	0	45	100
Seasonal high water table	0	35	100
Seeps	0	10	25

**Wind and water erosion**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Sheet and rill erosion	0	85	100
Wind erosion	0	15	100

# Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Clearing and Snagging	326	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Dam, Diversion	348	Conservation Practices
Well Decommissioning	351	Conservation Practices
Dike and Levee	356	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	Conservation Practices
Pond	378	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	Conservation Practices
Firebreak	394	Conservation Practices
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	Conservation Practices
Aquatic Organism Passage	396	Conservation Practices
Dam	402	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Land Clearing	460	Conservation Practices
Land Smoothing	466	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	Conservation Practices
Trails and Walkways	575	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	Conservation Practices
Channel Bed Stabilization	584	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	Conservation Practices
Terrace	600	Conservation Practices
Subsurface Drain	606	Conservation Practices
Surface Roughening	609	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Underground Outlet	620	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Shallow Water Development and Management	646	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	Conservation Practices
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	654	Conservation Practices
Forest Trails and Landings	655	Conservation Practices
Constructed Wetland	656	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Wetland Creation	658	Conservation Practices
Wetland Enhancement	659	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices
Well Plugging	755	Interim Conservation Practices
Drainage Ditch Covering	775	Interim Conservation Practices
Acquisition Process - Appraisal	LTAPA	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Update	LTAPAU	Easements
Acquisition Process - Boundary Survey	LTAPBS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Closing Services	LTAPCS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Full Phase I	LTAPFP1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Acquisition Process - Title Search	LTAPTS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Contract	LTP30YC	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Easement	LTP30YE	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	25	50

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	20
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	70
Program Priorities	Default	15	20	30
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

**Display Group: ID-FY23 ACEP-WRE (Active)**

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

**Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	
Is parcel located in Idaho?	Idaho	--
	Otherwise	--

**Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	
Is this an ACEP-WRE Application?	YES	--
	NO	--

**Survey: Program Questions**

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	
1. Offered parcel includes a landowner acquisition donation of 80% or more, that reduces easement acquisition cost for NRCS.	100%	
	90-99%	
	80-89%	
2. Restoration Cost to NRCS is:	Zero cost to NRCS.	
	500 dollars or less/acre	
	More than 500 dollars/acre	
3. Level of Post Restoration Operations and Maintenance:	Easement area will have passive water management and minimal operation and maintenance characteristics post restoration.	
	Easement will exhibit moderate to high operation and maintenance characteristics post restoration.	

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	
4. The ACEP-WRE application may achieve post restoration benefits for the following groups: waterfowl, shorebirds, wading birds, neotropical migrants, and native amphibians. Answer the number of groups the ACEP-WRE project will benefit.	Significant benefits for ALL groups	
	Significant benefits for TWO groups	
	Significant benefits for ONE group	
5. Is the offered land designated prime farmland?	YES	
	NO	
6. Are current production practices on offered property creating off-site environmental impacts that can be addressed by easement acquisition or restoration, within purview of ACEP purposes?	YES	
	NO	

**Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	
1. History of Agriculture Alteration is such that:	Wetland has significant hydrologic modification on-site and will have the hydrology restored to the extent determined technically feasible resulting in a significant increase in the functions and values of the wetland. Examples include but are not limited to fill; drainage ditches; pits; tiles; pumping or flooding of such magnitude that permanent wetland hydrologic conditions are created.	
	Wetland has moderate hydrologic modification on site and will have hydrology restored to the extent determined technically feasible resulting in a moderate increase in the functions and values of the wetland. Examples include but are not limited to alterations due to farming or substantial grazing.	
	Wetland has minor hydrologic modification. Examples include but are not limited to removal of woody species to make production possible.	
2. Percentage of wetland hydrology on easement area that can be restored to pre-agricultural conditions.	51 percent or greater	
	25 to 50 percent	
	Less than 25 percent	
3. Percentage of easement area included within a wetland.	75 percent or greater	
	50 to 74 percent	
	25 to 49 percent	
4. Offered easement parcel is located within the boundary of a Source Water protection Priority Area (SWPPA).	Yes	
	Otherwise	



Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	
5. Restored or enhanced wetlands will serve as a filter to remove sediments and associated pollutants from water entering easement?	Yes, enters EPA listed impaired waterbody	
	Yes, enters non-listed waterbody	
	No	
6. Restored wetlands will filter sediments and pollutants from water entering salmonid habitat?	Yes, empties directly into salmonid habitat	
	Yes, within 20 river miles of salmonid habitat	
	No	
7. Proximity of application to other permanently protected areas?	Easement is located adjacent to permanently protected lands (other conservation easements, NWR, WMA, Federal Lands, etc).	
	Easement is located within 1/2 a mile of permanently protected lands (other conservation easements, NWR, WMA, Federal Lands, etc).	
	Easement is located within 1 mile of permanently protected lands (other conservation easements, NWR, WMA, Federal Lands, etc).	
8. Landscape Context	Easement area is located directly adjacent to 640 or more acres of primarily native habitat?	
	Easement area is located directly adjacent to 320-639 acres of primarily native habitat?	
	Easement area is located directly adjacent to 160-319 acres of primarily native habitat?	
9. Parcel provides wildlife habitat for federally listed Threatened & Endangered (T&E) Species and state listed Species of Concern.	Easement acquisition and restoration efforts are specifically focused on the recovery of one or more federally listed threatened or endangered species and one or more state species of concern.	
	Site will generally contribute to the protection or recovery of either a Federally listed or state species of concern.	
10. Wetland restoration will include the following structure/function attributes: a) shrubs and low woody vegetation; b) trees and medium to high woody vegetation; c) connectivity to restoration of adjacent uplands; d) shallow edge habitat, shoreline/waterfowl dabbling depth; e) increased stream sinuosity	5 Restored Attributes	
	4 Restored Attributes	
	3 Restored Attributes	
	2 Restored Attributes	
	1 Restored Attribute	
11. Reliance on water rights and mechanical movement of water	Hydrology is not dependent on water rights, entirely passive	
	Hydrology is dependent partially on existing water rights	
	Hydrology of wetland is completely dependent on water rights	

## Section: Resource

Question	Answer Choices	
12. Will restoration result in a significant land use change that restores carbon sequestering native plants such as trees, shrubs, sedges, and grasses.	Project restores cropland to wetland habitat.	
	Project restores pasture or rangeland to wetland habitat.	
	Project enhances existing, but degraded wetland habitat.	
	Project would not create a considerable change in carbon sequestration/storage capacity.	