

Program: RCPP18

Pool Status: Active

States: FL (Admin)

Template: RCPP 2018 Entity Held Easement Activity ver 1.0 FY 21-22

Template Status: Active Last 03/28/2024 Modified:

Last Modified By:

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Сгор										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Other Rural Land				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					
Water	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	30	70
Long term protection of land	30	40	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	30	70

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

Ranking Pool Report

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100
Threat of conversion	0	50	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	45
Resource Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: 2290 EHE ACT Surface to Springs (Active)

In asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: 2290 EHE Applicability Question			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is application within 2290 Surface to Springs project area?	Geospatial		
	Otherwise		

Section: 2290 EHE Category Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
	Alachua Conservation Trust, Inc		
Who is the eligible Entity holding the deed?	Florida Department of Agricultural & Consumer Services		

Survey: Program Questions

Section: 2290 EHE Program Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
In the application part of a Dartner application hundle?	Yes	50		
Is the application part of a Partner application bundle?	No	0		
le the applicant any class of Historically Lindorson od?	Yes	40		
Is the applicant any class of Historically Underserved?	Yes No Yes No Yes No Landowner donation of non-federal share easement value. Non-lead partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value. Lead Partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value. Lead Partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value. Application addresses two or more resou concerns from the PPA attachment E. Application addresses one resource concerns from the PPA attachment E.	0		
	Landowner donation of non-federal share of easement value.	90		
Contributions: Does the application leverage RCPP funding with partner contributions?	Non-lead partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value.	60		
		0		
Outcomes: Application directly addresses one or more resource	Application addresses two or more resource concerns from the PPA attachment E.	20		
concern for which outcome metrics have been included in the PPA Attachment E?		10		
	Otherwise	0		

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: 2290 EHE Resource Concerns		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Yes, priority 1 or 2	20
All or part of offer (>25%) in the Florida Wildlife Corridor	Yes, priority 3	10
	Otherwise	0
	Greater than 50% of the parcel uplands support natural pine woodlands or other pine dominated natural community types.	30
What is the proportion of pines on Upland land communities?	Greater than 50% of the parcel uplands support closed canopy pine plantations (high density basal area).	20
	Greater than 50% of parcel uplands do not support pine forests (cleared, non-native agricultural lands).	0

Section: 2290 EHE Resource Concerns

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application within a Springs Protection area?	Geospatial	30
	Otherwise	0
Is project within one mile of an Eastern Indigo Snake conservation focus area?	Parcel intersects EIS layer	30
	Otherwise	0
Is there center pivot irrigated agricultural production on the parcel?	Yes, center irrigation or flood is part of future operations.	-40
	No irrigation present or easement would extinguish future center pivot or flood irrigation.	30
Evidence of fire management	Evidence that landowner has most of uplands under fire management (prescribed burn within past 5 years)	30
	Evidence of limited fire management (<50% uplands) and/or burns > 5 years ago.	20
	None of above, but evidence of future plans to implement fire management (written stewardship or forest management plan)	10
	Otherwise	0
Biodiversity and habitat	Greater than >50 of offer in Priority 1-2 of the CLIP Biodiversity aggregate priority model	30
	Greater than 50% of parcel acreage is in priority 1, 2 or 3.	15
	Otherwise	0