

## **CONSERVATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITY**

## CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

## E533B

## Complete pumping plant evaluation for energy savings

## **CONSERVATION PRACTICE: 533 - Pumping Plant**

APPLICABLE LAND USE: Crop (Annual & Mixed); Crop (Perennial); Pasture;

Associated Ag Land; Farmstead

**RESOURCE CONCERN: Energy** 

**ENHANCEMENT LIFE SPAN: 1 year** 

## **Enhancement Description**

Evaluation of all pumping plants to determine the potential to rehabilitate/replace/reconfigure pump performance to reduce energy use. Evaluate to determine if a Variable Frequency Drive motor controller(s) will reduce energy use and is feasible.

## <u>Criteria</u>

- Pump test evaluation will include all irrigation pumps on the on fields where the activity is implemented. There could be multiple pumps that are used on single or multiple fields.
- Minimum data necessary to complete the pumping evaluation:
  - Flow rate, instantaneous and for the season.
  - Pressure at different flow rates based on partial or complete irrigations.
  - Power usage to compute efficiency of the drive unit.
  - Area and fields irrigated.
  - Estimate of friction loss in pipelines based on pressure drop in lines during test.



**United States Department of Agriculture** 

## **Documentation and Implementation Requirements**

## Participant will:

#### *Prior to implementation:*

- Provide NRCS with a map showing the location of all fields and pumps connected to the irrigation system.
- □ Arrange for pump test evaluations of all irrigation pumps on fields where activity is implemented.

## During implementation

 Have a pump test evaluation performed on all irrigation pumps that service the fields where activity is implemented.

## After implementation

- Make the following items available for review by NRCS to verify implementation of the enhancement:
  - Pump test evaluation report(s).
  - Provide a list of any adjustments to improve system efficiency made as a result of the evaluation. Calculate the reduction of energy use based on before and after conditions. Energy savings can be reported as the average annual or seasonal energy reduction compared to previous operating conditions.

## NRCS will:

## Prior to implementation

- Provide and explain Pumping Plant (Code 533) to participant as it relates to implementing this enhancement.
- □ As needed, provide additional technical assistance to the participant as requested.

## After implementation

- □ Verify pump test evaluation, by reviewing evaluation report.
- Verify energy savings based on system efficiency before and after implementation of the enhancement.

## CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM



## **OREGON SUPPLEMENT TO CONSERVATION**

## **ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITY E533B**

## CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

## Additional Information and Requirements for Oregon

The intent is to evaluate irrigation pumping plants to determine the potential to rehabilitate/replace/reconfigure the pump to reduce energy use, and to determine if a Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) will reduce energy use and is feasible. Pumping plants that were evaluated under CSP in previous years are not eligible.

The Enhancement indicates that an evaluation is to be conducted for all irrigation pumps on fields where the activity is implemented, however, do not confuse "fields" with the CLU. Under this Enhancement, a field is defined by the irrigation system that serves it and the pumping plant evaluation is to be conducted on the pump(s) that support that irrigation system. Also, as the Enhancement points out, there could be multiple pumps that are used on single or multiple fields, which means this Enhancement and accompanying financial assistance is to be applied per irrigation system, not on the number of individual pumps that support that irrigation system.

It is noteworthy that not all local vendors have the capability of satisfying the requirements of this evaluation. Accordingly, advise the program participant to provide the requirements of the evaluation to a proposed vendor prior or contracting for it to ensure the vendor has the capability to complete it.

The program participant is to arrange for a pump test evaluation(s) by a local vendor capable of performing such an evaluation. To conduct this evaluation the vendor shall complete the "Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet" taken from the NRCS National Engineering Handbook (NEH). A fillable .pdf version of this worksheet can be found in Section IV of the FOTG under Code 533 "Pumping Plant".

E533B – Oregon State Supplement	January 2024	Page   1



# **OREGON SUPPLEMENT TO CONSERVATION**

**ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITY E533B (CONT)** 

## CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

## Additional Information and Requirements for Oregon

To determine if a VFD will reduce energy use and is feasible, the vendor must also address the following information via commentary and /or detailed calculations within the "Recommendations" section of the Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet:

• Does installation of a VFD meet the local power provider's standards regarding potential harmonics (e.g., the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard 519) and other interference issues?

- How the VFD would be protected against overheating?
- Would the VFD's control panel have a readout display of flow rate or pressure?
- Separation between VFD frequency (Hz) at low flow and high flow points must be greater than one hertz (>1 Hz).
- VFD frequency (Hz) at the high flow point must not exceed the base frequency (60Hz) by more than 10% ( $\leq$ 10%), i.e. VFD frequency must not exceed 66Hz.
- Reduction of energy use is to be a minimum of 10% (≥10%) as estimated in terms of the annual difference in energy use on an after-practice minus before-practice basis considering the same operating conditions.
- Pump is to be designed with a goal of maintaining pump efficiency at or above 80% ( $\geq$ 80%), but must not be less than 70% ( $\geq$ 70%) at any of the defined flow points for more than 20% ( $\leq$ 20%) of the total operating hours.
- Estimated payback period with the goal of being no more than 15 years ( $\leq$ 15 yrs).

Upon receiving the completed Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet, attached it to the Enhancement's completed Documentation and Implementation Requirements. Once the documentation requirements under this Enhancement are satisfied, regardless of what the evaluation recommends, no follow-on action by the program participant is required.

E533B – Oregon State Supplement	January 2024	Page   2

Pumping I	Plant	Detailed	Evaluation	Worksheet
-----------	-------	----------	------------	-----------

Land user		Field office	
Observer	Date	Checked by	Date
Field name or number		Acres irrigat	ed
Hardware Inventory:			
Power plant:			
Electric motor(a):	Main nump	Poostor (if upod)	
Make			
Model			
Rated rpm			
Rated hp			
Internal combustion en	gine:		
Make			
Model			
Continuous rated hp at	output shaft	hp at	rpm
Comments about cond	ition of power plant		
	rpm at driver		rpm at pump
Pumps			
Type: (centrifugal,			
turbine, submers.)			
Make			
Model			
Impeller diameter			
Number of impellers			
Rated flow rate (gpm)			
at head of (ft)			
Pump curves: Attached	d	(yes or no)	
Comments about cond	iton of equipment		

#### **Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet**

Land user	Field office
Existing suction or turbine column set-up (sketch showing dime	nsions)

Existing discharge set-up (sketch showing dimensions)

Data and computations:	
------------------------	--

Total Dynamic Head (TDH):

Elevation difference - water surface to pump outlet \_\_\_\_\_\_ feet

Pressure reading at pump outlet \_\_\_\_\_ psi

Pressure at pump inlet (where supply is pressurized) \_\_\_\_\_ psi

Estimated friction loss in suction pipe or pump column \_\_\_\_\_\_ feet

Miscellaneous friction loss \_\_\_\_\_\_ feet

TDH = (elevation difference between water source and pump discharge) + (discharge pressure - pressure at inlet) times 2.31 + (estimated suction pipe friction loss) + miscellaneous =

		=	feet
Flow rate:			
Flow meter:			
Flow rate =	gpm		
Velocity meter:			
Pipe ID	inches		
Velocity	feet/second		
Flow rate, Q, in gpm = (Veloc	ity, in feet/second) x (2.45) x (	(pipe ID <sup>2</sup> ) =	
=		=	apm

Land user	Field office
Water horsepower: whp = (flow rate, in gpm) x (TDH, in feet) = 3960	= hp
Energy input	
Electric:	
Disk revolutions	
Time: min sec =	sec
Meter constant (Kh)	
PTR (power transformer ratio - usually 1.0) $\frac{1}{2}$	
CTR (current transformer ratio - usually 1.0) $\frac{1}{2}$	
KW = (3.6) x (disk rev) x (Kh) x (PTR) x (CTR) =	e(kwh/h)
(time, in seconds)	
<b></b>	
Diesel or gasoline:	
Evaluation time: hours minutes =	hours
Fuel use gallons (a small quantity of fuel may a	Iso be weighed, at 7.05 lb/gal for diesel and 6.0 lb/gallon
for gasoline)	
(fuel use in college) -	
	gaions/noui
(time, innours)	
Propane:	
Evaluation time: hours minutes -	hours
Evaluation time: notices =	table tank)
(fuel use, in lb) =	= gallon/hours
(4.25 lb/gal) x (time, in hr)	0
Natural gas:	
Evaluation time: hours minutes	= hours
Meter reading: End minus Start _	= mcf
(fuel used, in mcf) =	= mcf/hr
(time, in hr)	

**Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet** 

1/ Some power companies use a type of meter that requires a PTR or CTR correction factor. Check with local power company.

## **Pumping Plant Detailed Evaluation Worksheet**

Land user \_\_\_\_\_\_ Field office \_\_\_\_\_

In the next step, the efficiency of the power plant and pump, as a unit, is compared to the Nebraska Standards for irrigation pumping plants. The Nebraska standard for a good condition, properly operated plant. If the comparison comes out less than 100%, there is room for improvement.

#### Nebraska performance rating:

Nebraska pumping plant performance criteria \_\_\_\_\_

Pump and Power Plant			
Energy source Whp-h/unit of energy Energy un			
Diesel	12.5	gallon	
Propane	6.89	gallon	
Natural gas	61.7	mcf	
Electricity	0.885	kW=kwh/hr	
Gasoline	8.66	gallon	

The Nebraska standards assume 75% pump and 88% electric motor efficiency.

Percent of Nebraska performance rating

 $= \underbrace{(whp) \times (100)}_{(energy input) \times (Nebraska criteria, in whp-h/unit)} =$   $= \underbrace{\qquad} = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ Horsepower input:$ Electric:  $\underbrace{(input kW)}_{(0.746 kW/bhp)} = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ bhp$ Diesel:  $(16.66) \times (energy input, in gal/hr) = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ bhp$ Propane:  $(9.20) \times (energy input, in gal/hr) = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ bhp$ Natural gas:  $(82.20) \times (energy input, in mcf/hr) = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ = \underbrace{\qquad} \\ bhp$ 

<b>Pumping Plant</b>	<b>Detailed Evaluatio</b>	n Worksheet
----------------------	---------------------------	-------------

Pumping plant efficiency:         Epp = (water horsepower output, whp) x (100) = =%         (brake horsepower input, bhp)         Energy cost per acre-foot:         Fuel cost per unit	Land user	Field office	
Epp = (water horsepower output, whp) × (100) =	Pumping plant efficiency:		
Energy cost per acre-foot:  Fuel cost per unit\$/kW-hr, or \$/gal, or \$/mcf Cost, in \$/ac-ft = (5431) × (fuel cost, in \$/unit) × (energy input, in kW, gal/hr, or mcf/hr)	Epp = ( <u>water horsepower output, whp</u> ) x (100) = (brake horsepower input, bhp)	=	%
Fuel cost per unit	Energy cost per acre-foot:		
Cost, in \$/ac-ft = ( <u>5431</u> ) x (fuel cost, in \$/unit) x (energy input, in kW, gal/hr, or mct/hr) (flow rate, in gpm) =	Fuel cost per unit\$/kW-hr, or \$/gal, o	r \$/mcf	
=	Cost, in \$/ac-ft = (5431) x (fuel cost, in \$/unit) x (energy input (flow rate, in gpm)	t, in kW, gal/hr, or mcf/hr)	
	=	= \$/acre-foot	
	Recommendations:		

#### **Design Approvals & Acknowledgements:**

Design Approval	Date	Job Approval Authority
Designed by:		
Approved by:		

#### **Client's Acknowledgement Statement:**

The client acknowledges:

- I have received a copy of the specification and understand the contents and requirements.
- It is my responsibility to obtain all necessary permits and/or rights and to comply with all ordinances and laws pertaining to the application of this practice.
- I will not begin installation of this practice until I have received appropriate approval to do so. I understand NRCS also has Federal and state laws to comply with that may take some time to address (e.g. cultural resources).

Client's Signature	Date

## Natural Resources Conservation Service Specification & Implementation Requirement Signature Pages

#### **Certification Documentation:**

	Field Evaluation: Post-treatment inventory, measurements, notes, as-built, and supporting documentation (document completion in conservation plan), as required.
	Map(s): Including field numbers, fields treated, and units treated (may document on conservation plan map), as required.
	Photos or other supporting documentation (e.g., seed tags, soil tests, receipts, invoices, spray records, fertilizer records, etc.)
Brief D and qu	Description of Work Accomplished (types of equipment used, date of application, extents uantities installed, etc.)

## **Certification Statement:**

The employee certifies the implementation of this conservation practice:

- Meets the purpose, general criteria, and any required additional criteria as documented in the conservation practice standard and/or enhancement sheet.
- Meets the specifications contained herein and is complete.
- Conforms to my existing Job Approval Authority controlling factors and levels.

Name	Date	Job Approval Authority

Field Level Certification – For multiple applications of this design.							
Land Unit/ Contract	Date	Unit(s)	Amount	Certifier			
Item Number			Installed				